

Appl. No. : 10/716,048 Confirmation No. 4279
Applicant : Victor Chartrand
Filed : 11-17-2003
TG/A.U. : 2642
Examiner : Quynh H. Nguyen
Docket No. : 03-1124-CHART
Customer No. : 26357

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Interview Summary

The following is a complete and proper recordation of the substance of the interview conducted on June 6, 2005:

(A) brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted: None

(B) identification of the claims discussed: 1-4, 10-15, 24 and 35-38.

(C) identification of specific prior art discussed: Catron et al. reference at col. 1, lines 53-58; col. 2, lines 59-64; col. 3, lines 54-55; col. 4, lines 4-7. There is another indication that the

Catron et al. reference described a system wherein each communications device has a separate seven-digit telephone number at col. 6, lines 4-16.

(D) identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary form completed by the examiner:

Examiner suggested that "factual information" contained in the claims be deleted as it does not affect patentability. She suggested that the claims be amended to emphasize the novelty of the invention. The undersigned stated that he would suggest this to the applicant, but that the applicant had previously requested that the factual information be recited.

(E) the general thrust of the principal arguments of the applicant and the examiner should also be identified, even where the interview is initiated by the examiner: All of the arguments made in Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form were made.

(F) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed: None

(G) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview: The Examiner indicated that she now understood the applicant's invention and could see how it differed from the prior art of record. She also indicated that she would explain the differences to her supervisor upon receipt of an amendment.

(H) in the case of an interview via electronic mail, a paper copy of the Internet e-mail contents MUST be made and placed in the patent application file as required by the Federal Records Act in the same manner as an Examiner Interview Summary Form, PTOL 413, is entered: Not applicable.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT M. HUNTER PLLC

By: Robert M Hunter

06/13/05

Robert M. Hunter
Reg. No. 42,679
Tel.: (808) 885-4194